NSOU-OPEN JOURNAL **ISSN: 2581-5415**
Vol.9 No.1 (January 2026)
A Multidisciplinary Online Journal of Netaji Subhas Open University, INDIA

Mechanisms to Ensure Active Participation of Indigenous Communities and Incorporation of Traditional Knowledge in Climate Management: A Study on COP

Dr. Utpal Deka
Assistant Professor of Economics, Krishna Kanta Handiqui State Open University
Email: utpaldeka007@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5548-4151

Abstract
Indigenous communities possess traditional knowledge (TK) critical for climate adaptation and mitigation, yet their active participation in global climate governance remains contested. This paper examines mechanisms established by the Conference of the Parties (COP) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), particularly the Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform (LCIPP), to ensure active Indigenous participation and TK incorporation in climate management, with a focus on National Adaptation Plans (NAPs). Drawing on UNFCCC documents, scholarly literature, and stakeholder perspectives, the study evaluates the effectiveness of these mechanisms, highlighting successes like LCIPP's co-governance model and challenges such as tokenism and funding gaps. Recent developments, including the COP29 Baku Work plan adopted in 2024, emphasize elevating Indigenous voices in climate action, yet persistent barriers like inadequate representation and financial shortfalls continue to hinder progress. Recommendations include enhancing funding, enforcing free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC), and strengthening NAP integration. The findings underscore the need for equitable partnerships to uphold Indigenous sovereignty, integrating insights from diverse regions such as Africa, South America, the Arctic, and India to provide a global perspective on these issues.
Keywords: *Climate Management, COP, Indigenous Peoples, LCIPP, NAPs, Traditional Knowledge.*

Introduction

Indigenous communities, despite contributing minimally to global greenhouse gas emissions, are disproportionately affected by climate change due to their dependence on natural ecosystems (IPCC, 2022). Their traditional knowledge (TK), encompassing practices like rotational farming, community-based conservation, and Indigenous fire management, offers sustainable solutions for climate adaptation and mitigation (Nakashima et al., 2012). For instance, in the Arctic, *Inuit* knowledge of sea ice patterns has informed adaptive strategies for hunting and navigation amid melting permafrost, while in Australia, *Aboriginal* fire management techniques have reduced wildfire risks and enhanced biodiversity (Ford et al., 2016). In India, *Adivasi* communities like the *Apatani* tribe in Arunachal Pradesh employ wet rice cultivation integrated with fish farming and efficient irrigation systems to combat erratic monsoons and water scarcity, demonstrating TK's role in agricultural resilience (Priyadarshini & Abhilash, 2019). The Conference of the Parties (COP) to the UNFCCC has recognized TK's value through mechanisms like the Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform (LCIPP) and the Paris Agreement. However, critiques from Indigenous advocacy groups highlight that TK is sometimes used superficially, without meaningful participation or respect for Indigenous sovereignty. This paper investigates COP's mechanisms to ensure active Indigenous participation and TK incorporation, with a specific focus on their application in National Adaptation Plans (NAPs). It addresses the research question: Are these mechanisms effective, or do they remain aspirational? The study combines UNFCCC documents, peer-reviewed literature, and stakeholder perspectives to evaluate successes, challenges, and solutions. By incorporating recent outcomes from COP29 in 2024, which adopted a new work plan for the LCIPP spanning 2025–2027, this analysis highlights evolving commitments while critiquing ongoing gaps in implementation (UNFCCC, 2024). Furthermore, global case studies from regions like Africa and South America illustrate how TK integration can enhance resilience but is often undermined by structural inequalities (The role of Indigenous knowledge in climate change adaptation in Africa, 2024). In India, similar dynamics are evident in the Western Ghats, where the *Irular* tribe's pest management and seed storage techniques support biodiversity amid climate variability (National Institute of Urban Affairs [NIUA], 2023).

Statement of the Problem

Despite formal recognition of Indigenous Peoples' contributions to climate action, their active participation in UNFCCC processes and the authentic integration of TK into climate policies remain inconsistent. Mechanisms like the LCIPP and NAP guidelines aim to foster co-governance and respect Indigenous sovereignty, but issues such as tokenism, limited funding, and inadequate enforcement of free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC) hinder progress. Tokenism, where Indigenous input is sought symbolically without influencing decisions, has been a recurring critique, as seen in negotiations where Indigenous representatives are invited but sidelined in

final outcomes. Funding gaps exacerbate this, with only a fraction of climate finance reaching Indigenous-led initiatives; for example, less than 1% of global climate funds directly support Indigenous communities, limiting their capacity to engage (IISD, 2022). This study seeks to assess whether COP's mechanisms effectively empower Indigenous communities as active partners or merely pay lip service to their inclusion, particularly in the context of NAPs. In Brazil, for instance, the National Adaptation Plan review process in 2024 highlighted gaps between government strategies and autonomous Indigenous actions, underscoring the need for better alignment. In India, the ongoing development of the National Adaptation Plan (NAP) under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change has involved stakeholder consultations, but indigenous groups like the Paudi Bhuyans in Odisha report limited influence despite their TK in sustainable land management (Kahlon & Singh, 2021). Similarly, UN reports warn that Indigenous Peoples are being left behind in the global climate fight, despite their stewardship roles. These inconsistencies not only undermine climate efforts but also perpetuate historical injustices, violating principles enshrined in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP).

Objectives

The study aims to:

1. Identify and analyze COP mechanisms for Indigenous participation and TK incorporation.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of these mechanisms, focusing on the LCIPP and NAPs.
3. Identify barriers to active participation and authentic TK integration.
4. Propose actionable recommendations to enhance Indigenous involvement and uphold sovereignty in climate management, drawing on recent COP outcomes and global case studies, including from India.

Methodology

This qualitative study analyzes primary and secondary sources, including UNFCCC documents (COP decisions, LCIPP reports, Paris Agreement), peer-reviewed articles, and stakeholder perspectives from organizations like UNESCO, UNDP, the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD), and the Inuit Circumpolar Council. Data were collected on mechanisms for Indigenous participation, TK integration, and NAP implementation through systematic literature searches and reviews of recent UNFCCC outcomes up to COP29 in 2024. The analysis synthesizes these sources to assess effectiveness, identify barriers, and propose recommendations, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation.

Literature Review

Traditional knowledge encompasses Indigenous practices, beliefs, and innovations passed down through generations, often tied to environmental stewardship (Berkes, 2018). Studies highlight TK's role in climate resilience, such as Indigenous fire management in Australia or Andean qochas for water management (Nakashima et al., 2012). In India, systematic reviews reveal TK's contributions in regions like the Himalayas, where communities adapt farming practices to changing precipitation (Negi et al., 2017), and in Odisha, where the Paudi Bhuyans use ethnoecological knowledge for biodiversity conservation (Kahlon & Singh, 2021). The UNFCCC's recognition of TK began with the Cancun Agreement (COP16, 2010), which emphasized Indigenous rights in climate policy (UNFCCC, 2010). The Paris Agreement (COP21, 2015) further embedded TK in adaptation frameworks through Article 7.5, followed by the LCIPP's establishment at COP24 (UNFCCC, 2018). However, scholars note persistent challenges, including tokenism, where TK is extracted without community involvement, and limited funding for Indigenous-led initiatives (Ford et al., 2016). NAPs, as country-driven adaptation plans, are critical for TK integration but often lack robust Indigenous engagement (UNFCCC, 2021). Recent literature emphasizes decolonizing climate agreements to avoid tokenism and ensure meaningful engagement (Reed, G. et al., 2024). In South America, justice enablers in climate-health adaptation highlight the transformative potential of participatory mechanisms integrating Indigenous knowledge (R. Rekers, *et al.* 2025). In India, TK supports resilience in fisheries among Northeast communities (Inaotombi & Mahanta, 2018) and animal management in Himachal Pradesh (Rana et al., 2019). Systematic reviews further confirm TK's contributions to adaptation, stressing the need for epistemological equity (Dorji, T. *et al.* 2024). This review frames the analysis of COP's mechanisms and their effectiveness, incorporating post-COP29 insights on enhanced Indigenous platforms (COP29,Baku Workplan, 2024).

Analysis

Mechanisms for Indigenous Participation and TK Incorporation in COP

Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform (LCIPP)

The LCIPP, established at COP24 (Decision 2/CP.24), is the cornerstone mechanism for Indigenous participation and TK incorporation (UNFCCC, 2018). Its Facilitative Working Group (FWG) comprises 14 members—seven Indigenous representatives and seven state party members—ensuring co-governance. The LCIPP's 2022–2024 work plan, extended to 2025, focuses on:

- Knowledge Sharing: Regional dialogues (e.g., 2023 Pacific workshop) enable Indigenous Peoples to present TK-based solutions, such as Inuit energy practices (LCIPP, 2023).
- Capacity Building: Training programs empower communities to document TK and advocate in UNFCCC processes.
- Policy Integration: Encourages states to embed TK in NAPs and NDCs, with FPIC as a prerequisite. The Baku Workplan (COP29, 2024) further prioritizes inclusive governance, amplifying Indigenous voices in climate negotiations (UNFCCC, 2024). This plan includes exploring options for sustainable funding and generational knowledge transfer, addressing long-standing calls from Indigenous groups (Key COP29 outcomes, 2025).

Paris Agreement and COP Decisions

Article 7.5 of the Paris Agreement (COP21, 2015) mandates that adaptation actions incorporate TK and involve Indigenous Peoples, emphasizing FPIC and alignment with the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) (UNFCCC, 2015). COP decisions, such as 2/CP.24, operationalize this by requiring states to engage Indigenous communities in climate policy design and implementation. The Cancun Agreement (COP16, 2010) urged climate funds, like the Green Climate Fund (GCF), to support Indigenous-led projects, ensuring TK is applied with community consent. COP29 (2024) reinforced these commitments, emphasizing Indigenous leadership in adaptation strategies and tripling finance to developing countries, which could indirectly benefit Indigenous initiatives. Additionally, COP26 in 2021 strengthened the role of Indigenous experts in nature stewardship, promoting knowledge-oriented values.

National Adaptation Plans (NAPs)

NAPs, introduced at COP7 (2001), are country-driven frameworks to address climate adaptation, with UNFCCC guidelines mandating Indigenous inclusion (UNFCCC, 2021). NAPs provide a structured process for integrating TK, involving communities in design, implementation, and monitoring. Examples include:

- Uganda: Combines Indigenous weather forecasting with scientific data to enhance agricultural resilience (Government of Uganda, 2023).
- Kenya: Engages Indigenous women and persons with disabilities in workshops to shape the Third National Climate Change Action Plan (2023–2027) (Government of Kenya, 2023).
- Pakistan: Kalash community's glacier-growing techniques inform water management strategies (UNDP, 2022).
- India: The ongoing NAP process integrates TK from tribes like the Dongria Kondh in Odisha, who use agro-forestry and diverse cropping to adapt to semi-arid conditions, and the Toda in Tamil Nadu, who predict monsoons via ant behavior (Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change [MoEFCC], 2025); NIUA, 2023).

Additional case studies from Peru demonstrate how public engagement integrates local and Indigenous knowledge into NAPs, using strategic communications for gender-sensitive approaches. In the Pacific, countries like Kiribati and Tuvalu leverage technology and community insights for NAP advancement, incorporating TK in vulnerability assessments. African examples further show TK's application in disaster risk management, informing policy formulation.

Effectiveness of Mechanisms

The LCIPP has facilitated tangible Indigenous participation. For instance, COP29's Baku Work plan included Indigenous-led sessions that influenced adaptation policies (UNFCCC, 2024). The GCF's funding of projects like Canada's Inuit energy solutions showcases TK's practical application (GCF, 2023). NAPs in countries like Uganda demonstrate successful co-design, where TK enhances climate resilience. In Brazil, autonomous Indigenous strategies highlight grassroots effectiveness, though national plans lag in integration. In India, Sikkim's pastoralists use bird migration patterns and transhumance for resilience, but NAP integration remains uneven (Ingty, 2017).

However, significant barriers remain:

- **Tokenism:** Indigenous groups report that TK is often extracted without decision-making power, violating FPIC principles. For example, some NAPs reference TK but lack community-led implementation, leading to "epistemological spaces" of exclusion ((Reed, G. et al., 2024).
- **Funding Shortfalls:** Only 0.1% of climate finance reaches Indigenous communities directly, limiting their capacity to lead projects (IISD, 2022). Despite COP29's $300bn finance goal, distribution remains unequal (COP29 Baku Workplan).
- **NAP Gaps:** Many countries' NAPs fail to involve Indigenous Peoples at all stages, with TK often relegated to non-binding sections of NDCs (UNFCCC, 2021). Industrial threats to Indigenous

lands further complicate this, as seen in global mappings showing expansion risks (Christina M. Kennedy. *et al.* 2023).

- **Representation Barriers:** Logistical constraints, such as travel costs and language access, exclude remote communities from COP and NAP processes (ICCA Consortium, 2023). Post-COP29 reflections note disappointment among Indigenous advocates, shifting focus to COP30 in Brazil for better inclusion.

Findings

The analysis reveals a dual reality:

- **Successes:** The LCIPP's co-governance model and NAP guidelines have enabled meaningful participation in some contexts, as seen in Uganda, Kenya, and Pacific Islands. Indigenous-led projects funded by the GCF demonstrate TK's practical value, with COP29 advancing workplans for knowledge sharing. In India, the Sahariya Adivasi's management of salai trees in Madhya Pradesh supports carbon sequestration (Mahalwal & Kabra, 2023).
- **Challenges:** Tokenism, inadequate funding, inconsistent FPIC enforcement, and logistical barriers undermine active participation and sovereignty. The gap between policy intent and implementation remains a critical issue, exacerbated by industrial encroachments and epistemological biases.
- **Potential:** NAPs offer a promising framework for TK integration, but their success depends on genuine community engagement and resource allocation. Systematic reviews show TK's resilience contributions, yet require paradigm shifts for equity. In India, the Mising community's collaborative flood responses exemplify this potential (NIUA, 2023).

Recommendations

To enhance Indigenous participation and TK incorporation:

- **Increase LCIPP Funding:** Allocate at least 5% of GCF resources to Indigenous-led NAP projects, ensuring direct access to funds (IISD, 2022). Leverage COP29's finance commitments for unrestricted grants to grassroots organizations.
- **Strengthen Representation:** Establish a permanent Indigenous Peoples Caucus within UNFCCC, as proposed at COP16, to amplify diverse voices (UNFCCC, 2010). Include cross-cultural education to combat tokenism.
- **Enforce FPIC in NAPs:** Implement mandatory audits to ensure FPIC compliance in TK use and NAP development, integrating safeguards against carbon markets' exploitation.
- **Expand Capacity Building:** Provide digital tools and Indigenous-language resources through LCIPP to enhance participation (LCIPP, 2023). Support technology-driven NAPs as in the Pacific for broader inclusion, and adapt for Indian contexts like Himalayan transhumance (Ingty, 2017).
- **Enhance Accountability:** Create a UNFCCC oversight body to monitor Indigenous inclusion in NAPs and report TK outcomes annually, addressing industrial threats. (Christina M. Kennedy. *et al.* 2023).
- **Promote Decolonization:** Encourage paradigm shifts in climate governance to integrate TK equitably, as advocated in feminist and Indigenous-led frameworks.

Conclusion

COP's mechanisms, including the LCIPP, Paris Agreement, and NAP guidelines, establish a foundation for active Indigenous participation and TK incorporation in climate management. Successes like Uganda's and Kenya's NAPs, alongside Pacific, African, and Indian case studies—such as the Jarawa tribe's cyclone forecasting in the Andaman Islands—demonstrate the potential for community-led solutions that blend TK with science for enhanced resilience (NIUA, 2023). However, tokenism, funding shortages, and inconsistent FPIC application limit effectiveness, rendering full participation partially aspirational, as evidenced by post-COP29 disappointments and calls for stronger action at COP30. By implementing the proposed recommendations, COP can bridge the gap between policy and practice, ensuring Indigenous sovereignty and equitable climate governance. Future research should explore NAP scalability, COP30 (2025) outcomes, and the role of emerging technologies in amplifying Indigenous voices to assess progress toward truly inclusive climate action.

References

Books, Articles, Research Papers:

i. Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred Ecology*. Routledge.

ii. Christina M. Kennedy, Brandie Fariss. *et al.* (2023). Indigenous Peoples' lands are threatened by industrial development; conversion risk assessment reveals need to support Indigenous stewardship, *One Earth*, Volume 6, Issue 8, 1032-1049, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.07.006.

iii. Dorji, T., Rinchen, K. *et al.* (2024). Understanding How Indigenous Knowledge Contributes to Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience: A Systematic Literature Review. *Environmental Management* **7**4, 1101–1123, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-024-02032-x.
iv. Ford, J. D., Vanderbilt, W., & Berrang-Ford, L. (2016). Community-based adaptation research in the Canadian Arctic. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 7*(2), 175–191. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.376.
v. Ingty, T. (2017). High mountain communities and climate change: adaptation, traditional ecological knowledge, and institutions. *Climatic Change* 145, 41–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-017-2080-3.
vi. Inaotombi, S., & Mahanta, P. C. (2018). Pathways of socio-ecological resilience to climate change for fisheries through indigenous knowledge. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, *25*(8), 2032–2044. https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2018.1482197.
vii. Kahlon, L.K., Singh, R. (2021). Understanding Linkages between Sustainability and Traditional Ethnoecological Knowledge (TEK): A Case Study of Paudi Bhuyans in Northern Odisha, India. In: Kaushik, A., Kaushik, C.P., Attri, S.D. (eds) Climate Resilience and Environmental Sustainability Approaches. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0902-2_19.
viii. Mahalwal, S., & Kabra, A. (2023). Indigenous knowledge and sustainability concerns in an era of climate change: the Sahariya Adivasi and salai trees (*Boswellia serrata*) in central India. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, *32*(1), 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2022.2164360.
ix. Negi, V. S., Pathak, R., Rawal, R. S., Bhatt, I. D., & Sharma, S. (2017). Traditional knowledge and biodiversity conservation: A case study from Byans Valley in Kailash Sacred Landscape, India. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 61*(11), 1935–1954. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2017.1371006.
x. Priyadarshini, P., & Abhilash, P. C. (2019). Promoting tribal communities and indigenous knowledge as potential solutions for the sustainable development of India. *Environmental Development, 32*, 100450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2019.100459.
xi. Rana, R. S., Kumar, V., & Kapoor, K. S. (2019). Indigenous knowledge on animal disease management and feed storage protection in Himachal Himalayas, India. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge, 18*(2), 308–315.
xii. Reed, G., Alook, A. & McGregor, D.(2024). Decolonizing climate agreements strengthens policy and research for all future generations. *Nat Commun* **15**, 4810. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49143-x.
xiii. Romina Rekers, María Victoria Gerbaldo , Carlos Yabar , Cintia Rodríguez Garat , Lucas Rekers.(2025). Justice enablers of climate-health adaptation in South America. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health*, 23, 100459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2025.100459.

Reports:

i. Government of Kenya. (2023). *Third National Climate Change Action Plan (2023–2027)*. Ministry of Environment and Forestry.
ii. Government of Uganda. (2023). *National Adaptation Plan*. Ministry of Water and Environment.
iii. Green Climate Fund (GCF). (2023). *Funding Indigenous-led Climate Projects*. GCF Reports.
iv. ICCA Consortium. (2023). *Indigenous Peoples and Climate Governance*. ICCA Reports.
v. International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD). (2022). *Climate Finance for Indigenous Communities*. IISD.
vi. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2022). *Sixth Assessment Report (AR6)*. IPCC.
vii. Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform (LCIPP). (2023). *2022–2024 Work Plan Report*. UNFCCC.
viii. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2025). *National Adaptation Plan Process: Stakeholder Consultations*. Government of India.
ix. National Institute of Urban Affairs (NIUA). (2023). *Traditional & Indigenous Practices for Climate Resilience in India*. NIUA.
x. Nakashima, D. J., Galloway McLean, K., Thulstrup, H. D., Ramos Castillo, A., & Rubis, J. T. (2012). *Weathering Uncertainty: Traditional Knowledge for Climate Change Assessment*. UNESCO.
xi. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2022). *Pakistan's Kalash Community and Climate Resilience*. UNDP.
xii. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2025). *Building Climate Resilience in India: Initiating National Adaptation Plan Process*. UNDP.
xiii. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (2010). Decision 1/CP.16: Cancun Agreements. UNFCCC.

xiv. UNFCCC. (2015). *Paris Agreement*. UNFCCC.
xv. UNFCCC. (2018). Decision 2/CP.24: Local Communities and Indigenous Peoples Platform. UNFCCC.
xvi. UNFCCC. (2021). *National Adaptation Plans: Guidelines for Indigenous Inclusion*. UNFCCC.
xvii. UNFCCC. (2024). *COP29 Baku Workplan*. UNFCCC.